

Contribution from the Evans Chemical Laboratories,  
The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

## Stable Octahedral, Low-Spin Nickel(III) Complexes of a Tetradentate Macrocyclic Ligand Having Saturated Nitrogen Donors

ERNEST S. GORE and DARYLE H. BUSCH\*

Received December 2, 1971

The new complexes  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{X}_2]\text{ClO}_4$  have been prepared for  $\text{X} = \text{Br}, \text{Cl}, \text{NCO}, \text{NO}_3$ , and  $1/2\text{SO}_4$ .  $\text{Me}_2[14]\text{-aneN}_4$  is the tetradentate macrocycle 2,3-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane. The Ni(III) complexes are prepared by oxidation with  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  in aqueous solution or concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ . They have been characterized by the usual physical measurements, which show them to be uni-univalent electrolytes in polar solvents and low spin in electronic configuration with magnetic moments consistent with their formulation as tetragonally distorted octahedral Ni(III) derivatives. This is confirmed by the results of epr measurements. These Ni(III) complexes are exceptionally stable.

### Introduction

Ni(III) is reported to form with a variety of ligands including amines,<sup>1-4</sup> arsines,<sup>5-7</sup> phosphines,<sup>8-10</sup> bidentate ligands containing two  $-\text{NH}$  functions,<sup>11,12</sup> two  $-\text{S}^-$  functions (1,2-dithiolates),<sup>13,14</sup> and one  $-\text{NH}^-$  and one  $-\text{S}^-$  function,<sup>15</sup> and monoacido ligands such as fluoride.<sup>16</sup> In only a few cases has the geometry of the Ni(III) complexes been supported by data other than gross physical and chemical properties. Two structures determined by X-ray diffraction have been reported. The geometries of these complexes are octahedral in the case of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{o-phenylenebisdimethylarsine})_2\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$ <sup>17</sup> and trigonal bipyramidal for the  $[\text{Ni}(\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{P})_2\text{Br}_3]$  complex.<sup>18</sup> A third

structure, that for the bis(maleonitriledithiolato)nickel complex, has not been determined directly but the compound was found to be isomorphic<sup>19</sup> to the corresponding square-planar Co(II) complex.<sup>20</sup> In addition, the geometry of the hexafluoronickelate(III) anion was shown to be a tetragonally distorted octahedron<sup>21</sup> by a detailed analysis of its visible spectrum.

A much more important problem than the geometry of supposed Ni(III) complexes is the authenticity of the Ni(III) state. Most authors have based their claims for Ni(III) mainly on the results of magnetic moment measurements which have been consistent with the presence of one unpaired electron in the structure. However, magnetic moment measurements do not provide us with complete information on the electronic structure of the complexes. Moments corresponding to one unpaired electron could also be interpreted as Ni(II)-stabilized ligand cation radicals in which the unpaired electron was localized on the ligands. When the so-called Ni(III) complex  $[\text{Ni}(\text{o-phenylenebisdimethylarsine})_2\text{Cl}_2]\text{Cl}$  was reexamined<sup>17</sup> by X-ray diffraction and epr, the authors concluded that indeed this complex would be more properly described as a Ni(II)-stabilized ligand cation radical. In the light of these results the authenticity of all the nickel(III)-arsine complexes<sup>5-7</sup> must be considered suspect. Other workers have also made use of epr to determine the electronic structure of the nickel(III)-bis(maleonitriledithiolato) complex,<sup>13</sup> and although there is some controversy over the results,<sup>14</sup> it appears that the unpaired electron in this complex cannot be considered to be a pure metal d electron but must be considered to be significantly delocalized over the dithiolate

- (1) A. V. Babaeva, I. B. Baranovskii, and G. G. Afanas'eva, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **10**, 686 (1965).
- (2) N. F. Curtis and D. F. Cook, *Chem. Commun.*, 962 (1967).
- (3) (a) E. K. Barefield, Ph. D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1969; (b) E. K. Barefield and D. H. Busch, *Chem. Commun.*, 523 (1970).
- (4) D. C. Olson and J. Vasilevskis, *Inorg. Chem.*, **8**, 1611 (1969).
- (5) R. S. Nyholm, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 2602 (1951).
- (6) G. A. Barclay, *Rev. Pure Appl. Chem.*, **4**, 77 (1954).
- (7) G. A. Barclay and A. K. Barnard, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 4269 (1961).
- (8) K. A. Jensen, B. Nygaard, and C. T. Peterson, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **17**, 1126 (1963).
- (9) G. E. Wymore and J. C. Bailar, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **14**, 42 (1960).
- (10) G. R. Van Hecke and W. D. Horrocks, Jr., *Inorg. Chem.*, **5**, 1968 (1966).
- (11) J. J. Bour, P. J. M. W. L. Birker, and J. J. Steggerda, *Inorg. Chem.*, **10**, 1202 (1971).
- (12) A. L. Balch and R. H. Holm, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **88**, 5201 (1966).
- (13) A. H. Maki, N. Edelstein, A. Davison, and R. H. Holm, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 4508 (1964).
- (14) E. I. Stiefel, J. H. Waters, E. Billig, and H. B. Gray, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 3016 (1965).
- (15) R. H. Holm, A. L. Balch, A. Davison, A. H. Maki, and T. E. Barry, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 2866 (1967).
- (16) W. Klemm, W. Brandt, and R. Hoppe, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **308**, 179 (1961).
- (17) P. Kreisman, R. Marsh, J. R. Preer, and H. B. Gray, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 1067 (1968).

- (18) D. W. Meek, E. C. Alyea, J. K. Stalick, and J. A. Ibers, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **91**, 4920 (1969).
- (19) H. B. Gray, R. Williams, I. Bernal, and E. Billig, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **84**, 3596 (1962).
- (20) J. D. Forrester, A. Zalkin, and D. H. Templeton, *Inorg. Chem.*, **3**, 1500 (1964).
- (21) G. C. Allen and K. D. Warren, *Inorg. Chem.*, **8**, 1895 (1969).

**Table I.** Physical Properties of the Complexes  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{X}_2]\text{ClO}_4^a$ 

X	Magnetic moment, $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ , BM	Molar conductance, $\text{cm}^2 \text{ohm}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$	N-H str freq, <sup>b</sup> $\text{cm}^{-1}$	Uv-vis spectral band max, $\text{cm}^{-1}$ (molar extinction coeff)
Cl	1.90	151	3180, 3120	31,400 ( $1.17 \times 10^4$ ), 12,900 (26), 10,500 (19)
Br	1.74	160	3150 sh, <sup>c</sup> 3120	32,800 ( $5.12 \times 10^3$ ), 27,800 sh ( $3.54 \times 10^3$ ), 13,000 (39), 10,000 (17)
NCO	2.05	143	3180 sh, 3130, 2180, <sup>d</sup> 610 <sup>d</sup>	32,900 ( $1.88 \times 10^3$ ), 14,850 (16), 10,370 (9)
$\text{NO}_3$	1.93	151	3200	32,000 ( $1.11 \times 10^4$ ), 14,000 (42)
$1/2\text{SO}_4$	1.56	168 <sup>e</sup>	3180	32,600 ( $4.26 \times 10^3$ ), 27,000 sh ( $2.46 \times 10^3$ ), 15,900 (43) <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Solution values in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  unless otherwise stated. <sup>b</sup> Nujol mull. <sup>c</sup> sh = shoulder. <sup>d</sup>  $\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  stretch. <sup>e</sup> In  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

ligands.

We wish to report the preparation and characterization of a series of low-spin, octahedral Ni(III) complexes of the form  $[\text{Ni}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{X}_2]\text{ClO}_4$ , where  $\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4$  is the macrocyclic ligand 2,3-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane and X = Br, Cl, NCO,  $\text{NO}_3$ , and  $1/2\text{SO}_4$ . The Ni(III) state has been established for these new compounds by epr studies.

### Experimental Section

$\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  was prepared by the method given by Barefield.<sup>3a</sup>

**Preparation of  $[\text{Ni}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{SO}_4)](\text{ClO}_4)$ .** A solution of  $\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  was prepared by dissolving 4.86 g (0.01 mol) of the compound in 40 ml of water. To this was added a solution of 1.15 g of  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  (0.005 mol), dissolved in a minimum amount of water. The solution turned green instantly and a green precipitate formed rapidly. This was isolated by filtering, washed several times with water, and dried at  $60^\circ$  in a vacuum oven. *Anal.* Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_4\text{NiSO}_4\text{ClO}_4$ : C, 29.86; H, 5.85; N, 11.60; S, 6.64; Cl, 7.35. Found: C, 29.81; H, 6.10; N, 11.61; S, 6.52; Cl, 7.72.

**Preparation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{Br}_2]\text{ClO}_4$ .** A solution of 1.15 g of  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  was added to a solution of 4.86 g of  $\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  in 40 ml of water. The green precipitate was filtered and an excess of concentrated HBr was added to the filtrate. The color changed to brown and a dark red-brown precipitate formed. This was isolated by filtering, washed several times with water, and dried in a vacuum oven at  $60^\circ$ . *Anal.* Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_4\text{NiBr}_2\text{ClO}_4$ : C, 26.38; H, 5.17; N, 10.25; Ni, 10.75. Found: C, 26.48; H, 5.41; N, 10.30; Ni, 10.25.

**Preparation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{Cl}_2]\text{ClO}_4$ .** This compound was prepared in the same way as  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{Br}_2]\text{ClO}_4$  but with HCl substituted for HBr. The compound was ochre. *Anal.* Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_4\text{NiCl}_2\text{ClO}_4$ : C, 31.51; H, 6.17; N, 12.24; Ni, 12.84; Cl, 23.25. Found: C, 31.78; H, 6.22; N, 12.21; Ni, 12.52; Cl, 22.95.

**Preparation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{NCO})_2]\text{ClO}_4$ .** This compound was prepared in the same manner as  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{Br}_2]\text{ClO}_4$  but with NaNCO substituted for HBr. The compound is yellow-green. *Anal.* Calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_6\text{NiClO}_4$ : C, 35.74; H, 6.00; N, 17.85; Cl, 7.53. Found: C, 35.59; H, 6.05; N, 17.59; Cl, 7.72.

**Preparation of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{NO}_3)_2]\text{ClO}_4$ .**  $\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  (1 g) was dissolved in 2 ml of concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The solution immediately turned dark green. To this solution, 6 ml of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was added slowly and with stirring. A lime green precipitate was obtained and collected by filtration, washed with methanol, and dried *in vacuo*. *Anal.* Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_6\text{NiClO}_{10}$ : C, 28.23; H, 5.53; N, 16.46; Cl, 6.94. Found: C, 28.33; H, 5.67; N, 16.40; Cl, 6.87.

**Epr Spectra.** The epr spectra were recorded on a Varian V-4500 Model EPR spectrometer operating in the X band and equipped with a dual cavity. A sample of DPPH was placed in the reference cavity and its  $g$  value taken to be 2.0037. The  $g$  values of the powder spectra were calculated by the approximate method of Kneubuhl<sup>22</sup> and are accurate to  $\pm 0.005$ .

### Results and Discussion

**Preparation of the Nickel(III) Complexes.** Ni(III) com-

**Table II.** Room-Temperature Epr Data for the Complexes  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)\text{X}_2]\text{ClO}_4$ 

X	$g_{\perp}^a$	$g_{\parallel}^a$	$g_{\text{soln}}^b$
Br	2.171	2.022	2.121, $\langle A \rangle = 57 \text{ G}$
Cl	2.181	2.025	2.124
NCO	2.169	2.055	2.129, $\langle A \rangle = 22 \text{ G}$
$\text{NO}_3$	2.221, 2.182 <sup>c</sup>	2.103	2.159
$1/2\text{SO}_4$	2.16 <sup>d</sup>		2.165 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Powder. <sup>b</sup> In  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  unless otherwise stated. <sup>c</sup> Nonaxially symmetric. <sup>d</sup> Very broad ( $\sim 1000 \text{ G}$ ) symmetric resonance. <sup>e</sup> In  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

plexes have been prepared using a variety of oxidizing agents including halogens,<sup>1,8-10</sup> concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,<sup>2</sup>  $\text{NOBF}_4$ ,<sup>3</sup> and  $\text{ClNO}$ .<sup>8</sup> Some complexes have also been prepared electrochemically.<sup>4,11,12,15,17</sup> In this work we have used ammonium persulfate,  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ , and concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  as oxidizing agents. Dissolution of equivalent amounts of  $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)](\text{ClO}_4)_2$  and  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  in water results in the immediate formation of a dark green solution with a green precipitate being deposited when the solution is concentrated. The precipitate is the sulfate complex  $[\text{Ni}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4)(\text{SO}_4)]\text{ClO}_4$ . After the precipitate is filtered, the filtrate is saved and the chloride, bromide, or cyanato complexes are prepared by adding concentrated HCl, concentrated HBr, or a solution of NaNCO, respectively, to the solution, whereupon an immediate precipitate of the corresponding complex forms. The nitrate complex is prepared by dissolving the parent Ni(II) complex in concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$ . Upon diluting in solution with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the nickel(III)-nitrate complex precipitates. The Ni(III) complexes are stable indefinitely in the solid state but decompose in solution after several hours.

**Characterization of the Nickel(III) Complexes.** The data relevant to the characterization of the new complexes are given in Tables I and II. The magnetic moments for the  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NCO}^-$ , and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  complexes are within this range; that for the  $\text{Br}^-$  is slightly low and the moment of the  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  complex is anomalously low. A similar result was noted<sup>2</sup> in the preparation of the  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  complex of Ni(III) with 5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane. An antiferromagnetic mechanism *via* superexchange through bridged  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  was invoked to explain the anomalous magnetic moment (1.54 BM at room temperature), but no experimental verification was offered.

The data for the molar conductances are consistent with the existence of the complexes as 1:1 electrolytes. Typical values for 1:1 and 2:1 electrolytes in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  are in the ranges 135-155 and 250-310  $\text{cm}^2 \text{ohm}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$ , respectively.<sup>23</sup> For  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  the ranges are 100-150 and 210-260.<sup>24</sup> Again the value for the  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  complex is somewhat anom-

alous but it is well below the range for di-univalent electrolytes. Since the  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  complex is a 1:1 electrolyte in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , it is most probable that the macrocycle adopts a cis configuration in this complex. While pertinent data are lacking, the general behavior of ligands of this class leads us to anticipate a trans configuration for the other three complexes.

The N-H stretching frequencies in the complexes are not unusual. They are the same as for Co(III) complexes of  $\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4$ .<sup>25</sup> The bands at 2180 and 610  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  for the  $\text{NCO}^-$  complex are typical of N-bonded  $\text{NCO}^-$  complexes.<sup>26</sup>

In order to establish the authenticity of these new Ni complexes as low-spin  $d^7$  Ni(III) rather than Ni(II) complexes of ligands that are stabilized cation radicals, extensive use has been made of epr. If it is assumed that the four nitrogen atoms are coplanar with the Ni ion, then the symmetry of the ligand field can be approximated as  $D_{4h}$ . (This assumption has proved valid in interpreting the visible spectra of Co(III) and Ni(II) macrocyclic complexes.<sup>27</sup>) The most probable electronic structure for a low-spin  $d^7$  Ni(III) complex with  $D_{4h}$  symmetry is that in which the unpaired electron is in the  $d_{z^2}$  orbital. For this situation the  $g$  factors can be predicted using the method outlined by Maki, *et al.*,<sup>13</sup> with the result that  $g_{\parallel} = 2$  and  $g_{\perp} = 2 - 6\lambda/\Delta$ .  $\lambda$  is the spin-orbit coupling constant (negative in sign for Ni(III)) and  $\Delta$  is the energy difference between the ground state with the unpaired electron in the  $d_{z^2}$  orbital and the excited state with the unpaired electron in the  $d_{xz}$  or  $d_{yz}$  orbital. We can estimate  $g_{\perp}$  by choosing appropriate values for  $\lambda$  and  $\Delta$ . The free ion value for  $\lambda$  is  $-730 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and in the complex this will be reduced in order to allow for covalency to a value on the order of  $-500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The parameter  $\Delta$  will be of the same order of magnitude as the crystal field splitting which we can assume to be approximately  $15,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Thus an estimate for  $g_{\perp}$  would be 2.20.

Theoretical calculations show that the effect of reducing the symmetry to  $D_{2h}$  is to split  $g_{\perp}$  into two  $g$  factors,  $g_{xx}$  and  $g_{yy}$ , both of which will be approximately equal to 2.20.

If the complexes are Ni(II)-stabilized cation radicals, the  $g$  factors would be essentially the same as those found for organic free radicals. The  $g$  tensor would show very little anisotropy and all  $g$  factors would be close to the free electron value of 2.0023. Clearly our epr results (Table IV) are more consistent with the low-spin  $d^7$  Ni(III) formulation. Strictly speaking the unpaired electron will not be in a pure d orbital, but since the macrocyclic ligand is completely saturated, no appreciable amount of delocalization

of the unpaired electron is to be expected onto the macrocyclic ligand.

Two of the complexes exhibit superhyperfine coupling in solution. The bromo complex shows seven lines,  $\langle A \rangle = 57 \text{ G}$ , and the cyanato complex five lines,  $\langle A \rangle = 22 \text{ G}$ . These patterns are consistent respectively with two equivalent bromines and two equivalent nitrogens (from the cyanato groups). This equivalence is expected if the symmetry of the molecule is pseudo- $D_{4h}$ . It is especially significant that this unequivocally establishes the coordination number for Ni(III) in these complexes as 6 and strongly supports the proposed pseudooctahedral, tetragonal structure.

The  $g_{\parallel}$  values are significantly different from the predicted value of 2.00. This implies that the ground state is not pure  $d_{z^2}$  but contains a small admixture of  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ . This could be the result of two effects: vibronic interactions<sup>28</sup> or descent of the symmetry of the effective ligand field from  $D_{4h}$  to at least  $C_{2h}$ .<sup>29,30</sup>

The expression  $g_{\perp} = 2 - 6\lambda/\Delta$  can be used to determine  $\lambda$  provided the energy difference,  $\Delta$ , can be obtained from visible spectra. If, from among the two low-intensity bands, we arbitrarily assign the higher energy band to  $\Delta$ , then we find the following values for  $\lambda$ :  $-370, -390, -420$ , and  $-530 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NCO}^-$ , and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  complexes, respectively. These values represent a reduction from 50 to 70% of the free ion value of  $\lambda$ . Since greater reduction is anticipated as the covalency of the ground state increases, one would expect the observed  $\lambda$ 's to follow the nephelauxetic series and this is indeed the case.<sup>31</sup>

The Ni(III) complexes of  $\text{Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4$  form with great ease and are indefinitely stable in the solid state in dry air. This unusual stability has been corroborated by electrochemical data obtained in this laboratory.<sup>32</sup> The oxidation potential for the process  $\text{Ni(II)} \rightarrow \text{Ni(III)}$  is 0.67 V (solvent  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , reference electrode  $\text{Ag}-0.1 \text{ M AgNO}_3$ ) and is reversible.

Unequivocal evidence for the Ni(III) oxidation state and its stereochemistry has been rare to date. In this paper we have presented strong evidence for the existence of the Ni(III) oxidation state in a tetragonally distorted octahedral ligand field. The unusual stability of the  $\text{Ni}^{\text{III}}\text{-Me}_2[14]\text{aneN}_4$  complexes recommends them for study when interest is directed toward Ni(III).

**Registry No.** Table I X = Cl, 36534-04-0; X = Br, 36534-05-1; X = NCO, 36534-06-2; X = NO, 36534-07-3; X =  $1/2 \text{ SO}_4$ , 36534-08-4.

**Acknowledgment.** These studies were supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

(28) H. Kon and N. Kataoka, "Electron Spin Resonance of Metal Complexes," T. F. Yen, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N. Y., 1969, p 59.

(29) B. W. Moores and R. L. Belford, "Electron Spin Resonance of Metal Complexes," T. F. Yen, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N. Y., 1969, p 13.

(30) M. A. Hitchman and R. L. Belford, "Electron Spin Resonance of Metal Complexes," T. F. Yen, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N. Y., 1969, p 97.

(31) B. N. Figgis, "Introduction to Ligand Fields," Interscience, New York, N. Y., 1966, p 242.

(32) D. H. Busch and F. V. Lovecchio, unpublished results.

(24) Based on data collected from the following Ph.D. theses at The Ohio State University: K. M. Long (1967), E. K. Barefield (1969), N. J. Farrier (1969), F. D. Vrudny (1969), and K. E. Travis (1971).

(25) E. S. Gore and D. H. Busch, unpublished results.

(26) K. Nakamoto, "Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds," 2nd ed, Wiley-Interscience, New York, N. Y., 1970.

(27) F. V. Lovecchio, A. M. Tait, and D. H. Busch, unpublished results; C. R. Sperati, Thesis, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 1971.